



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/831,566 | 05/10/2001 | Manfred T. Reetz | STUDIEN 282- | 6932 |
| 27384 | 7590 | 02/12/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| NORRIS, MC LAUGHLIN & MARCUS, PA | | | METZMAIER, DANIEL S | |
| 875 THIRD AVENUE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 18TH FLOOR | | | 1796 | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10022 | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/12/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/831,566 | REETZ ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Daniel S. Metzmaier | 1796 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier. (3)_____.

(2) Kurt Briscoe. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 08 February 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Moumen et al and Bonnemann et al relied in Office Action of 1/25/2008.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed Moumen et al regarding the redispersibility in water versus the use of a water/ethylene glycol mixed solvent in Moumen et al. Discussed the issue of obviousness of dispersing the Moumen et al materials in water. Discussed the issue of Bonnemann et al materials as reducing the metal rather than the oxide and the applicability of inherency, the oxide as an intermediate and if said intermediate is isolatable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Daniel S. Metzmaier/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.